

28

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR. **ENDORSED FILED** Attorney General of the State of California SUPERIOR COURT ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** Senior Assistant Attorney General 3 MARGARET REITER APR 1 3 2007 Supervising Deputy Attorney General PAUL STEIN (SBN: 184956) GORDON PARK-LI, CLERK Deputy Attorney General 5 BY: _ ERNALYN BURA California Department of Justice Deputy Clerk 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 703-5740 7 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 LIONEL B. WILSON Deputy General Counsel CHRIS WITTEMAN (SBN: 115314) Public Utility Counsel 10 California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Ave. 11 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 355-5524 12 Fax: (415) 703-4465 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff, the People of the State of California 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 16 CASE NO. 462325 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 17 Plaintiff, 18 STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT AND ٧. 19 PERMANENT INJUNCTION DEVINE COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a California 20 Corporation; MEGALINK TELECOM, INC., a California Corporation; and DOUGLAS SERGE 21 DEVINE, an individual. 22 Defendants. 23 24 Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ("the People"), appearing through 25 California Attorney General Edmund G. Brown Jr., by Deputy Attorney General Paul Stein, and 26 the California Public Utilities Commission, by Public Utilities Counsel Chris Witteman, and 27 Defendants Devine Communications, Inc. and Megalink Telecom, Inc., appearing through their

attorney Curtis Woo, Esq., and Defendant Douglas Devine, appearing personally and through his attorney Curtis Woo, Esq. ("Defendants"), stipulate to the entry of the Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction ("Judgment") attached hereto as Exhibit A, and stipulate further as follows:

- A. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Complaint filed in this action and jurisdiction over Defendants Devine Communications, Inc. and Megalink Telecom, Inc.
- B. Defendant Douglas Devine claims he does not reside in California and contests personal jurisdiction. He agrees, however, to submit to the jurisdiction of the Court for the limited purposes of executing and filing this Stipulation and the Judgment, and for any further proceedings relating to the Judgment.
 - C. Venue as to all matters between the parties related hereto lies in this Court.
 - D. Defendants waive service of a summons and complaint in this action.
- E. Defendants agree to accept service of the Notice of Entry of Judgment and the Judgment by U.S. Mail on their agent(s) for service of process in California and/or their abovereferenced counsel. Defendant Douglas Devine further agrees to accept service of the Notice of Entry of Judgment and the Judgment by U.S. Mail sent to either of the following addresses:

2024 Divisidero Street, Suite 1 San Francisco, CA 94115

120 Morocco Drive, Building 2, Lot 5 Santa Rosa, Laguna 4026 Philippines

25

26

27

28

- F. Defendants agree to accept any further service related to the Judgment by U.S. Mail on their agent(s) for service of process in California. Defendant Douglas Devine further agrees to accept any further service related to the Judgment by U.S. Mail sent to either of the addresses listed in Paragraph E of this Stipulation.
- G. Defendants waive the right to appeal, to attempt to set aside or vacate, or otherwise to attack, directly or collaterally, the Judgment.
 - Plaintiff and Defendants agree that nothing in this Stipulation, the Judgment, or H.

these proceedings shall constitute evidence, or an admission by Defendants of any fact or issue of law raised by the Complaint filed in this action.

- I. Defendants deny the allegations of the Complaint. Defendants agree to the entry of the Judgment and to be bound by its terms in order to avoid the burden and expense of litigation.
- J. Plaintiff and Defendants agree that nothing in this Stipulation, the Judgment, or these proceedings shall be construed to mean that Plaintiff has approved, sanctioned, or authorized any of Defendants' acts, practices, or conduct, and neither Defendants nor anyone acting on their behalf shall state or imply in this or any other proceeding that such endorsement or approval has been given.
- K. Plaintiff and Defendants agree that the Judgment may be entered herein to resolve and is conclusive with respect to those, and only those, matters set forth in the allegations of the Complaint filed in this action.
- L. No later than seven days after Defendants execute this Stipulation, Defendants shall deliver to Plaintiff's counsel checks totaling no less than \$7,500 as specified in Paragraphs 11-13 of the Judgment relating to civil penalties; provided, however, that any payments by Defendants that are made prior to the date the Judgment is entered by the Court shall be held in trust by Defendants' counsel Technology Law Group LLC, by Mr. Neil S. Ende. Mr. Ende shall acknowledge receipt of any such payments in writing with a copy to Plaintiff's counsel. Payments held in trust by Technology Law Group LLC shall be released to the Plaintiffs within three business days after notice by email to Mr. Ende of entry of the Judgment by the Court. Defendants may also make their monthly installment payments by separate wire transfers to the California Attorney General and the California Public Utilities Commission. Any other method of payment shall be agreed to in writing by the parties.
 - M. Defendants warrant that they are proper parties to the Judgment.
- N. The parties acknowledge that they were represented by legal counsel throughout the negotiations which led to the execution of this Stipulation, and that they are executing this

Stipulation on the advice of counsel.

- O. Defendants acknowledge that they provided detailed information in a sworn declaration under penalty of perjury about their financial condition and other aspects of their California operations, including but not limited to information about their sales revenues, to Plaintiff's counsel. Defendants further acknowledge that Plaintiff's counsel informed them that Plaintiff relied on the truthfulness, accuracy, and completeness of that information in agreeing to the Judgment, and Plaintiff shall be deemed to have so relied.
- P. Defendants agree that Plaintiff's counsel may submit the Judgment to any judge of the Superior Court for approval and signature, and Plaintiff agrees that it will make a reasonable effort to notify Plaintiff's counsel prior to filing this Stipulation and the Judgment with the Court.
 - Q. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and on multiple signature pages.
- R. Defendants warrant that the signatories to this Stipulation have authority to act for and bind them.
- S. Defendants acknowledge and agree that counsel for the California Public Utilities Commission is executing this Stipulation subject to a formal vote of the Commission approving the Stipulation and Judgment. Consequently, this Stipulation shall not become effective until executed by a representative of the Attorney General, each Defendant, and counsel for Defendants, and executed and approved by the Commission. The undersigned counsel for the Commission will notify Defendants as soon as possible of the Commission's action on this matter.

DATED: April 4, 2007

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Attorney General of the State of California

Paul Stein

Deputy Attorney General

Counsel for the People of the State of California

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | DATED: April 4, 2007 CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION |
| 3 | By: () |
| 4 | Chris Witteman Public Utility Counsel |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Counsel for the People of the State of California, by and through the California Public Utilities Commission |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |

| 1 | DATED: March 2007 | DEVINE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. |
|----|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2 | \mathcal{U} | (1)(1)(1) |
| 3 | * | By: Douglas Devine |
| 4 | | President and Chief Executive Officer |
| 5 | | By: |
| 6 | | Secretary or CFO |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |

| 1 | DATED: March £, 2007 | MEGALINK TELECOM, INC. |
|----------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2 | | By: Thing |
| 3 | | Douglas Devine |
| 4 | | President and Chief Executive Officer |
| 5 | | By: |
| 6 | | Secretary or CFO |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | 5 | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |

| | li li | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|-----|---------|--------|----|----|-------|--|
| 1 | ,, | | | | | | | |
| 2 | DATED: March 26, 2007 | DOU | GLAS DE | EVINE | | _ | | |
| 3 | | D | 4 | 14 | | In | _ | |
| 4 | | Ву: | Douglas | Devine | / | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | * | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | 27 | | | |
| 12 | * | | | | | | | |
| 13 14 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 7 | | | | | |

Dated: April 4, 2007 **CURTIS WOO, ESQ.** By: Curtis Woo Counsel for Defendants Megalink Telecom, Inc., Devine Communications, Inc., and Douglas S. Devine